UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,504	12/21/2006	Kazumasa Ikushima	062005	9554
	7590 08/20/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVÉNUE, NY	LEGESSE, HENOK D		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1	Application No. Applicant(s		Applicant(s)	s)			
Office Action Occurrence			10/565,504		IKUSHIMA, KAZUMASA				
Office Action Summary		1	Examiner		Art Unit				
		I	HENOK LEGE	SSE	2861				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commur ply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F (ER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN OF time may be available under the provision: IN MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the properties of the maximum supply within the set or extended period for reply coeived by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, ho apply and will expi ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 July	/ 2008						
·			ction is non-f	nal.					
′ =	/ _								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition o	f Claims								
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· 	m(s) <u>1-3 and 5-12</u> is/are rejecte	d.							
·	m(s) is/are objected to.								
•	m(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requi	rement.					
Application F	apers								
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner							
•				hiected to by the I	- - - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	acement drawing sheet(s) including			-		ED 1 121/d)			
	oath or declaration is objected t	_	-			, ,			
•	•	o by the Lan	illiller. Note ti	ie attached Office	Action of form 1	10-132.			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) D/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal P ☐ Other: <u>1 Foreign Re</u>	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/565,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/30/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suovaniemi et al. (US 5,343,769).

Regarding claim 1, Suovaniemi et al teaches a method of discharging a liquid droplet comprising:

providing a liquid discharging apparatus (device in figs.1, 2, 4) comprising:

Art Unit: 2861

a metering tube (6 in fig.2) having a discharge port communicating to outside (fig.2), and

a plunger (4) which is in close contact with the inner wall surface of the metering tube (6)(see in fig.2);

moving forward and stopping the plunger (4), over a plurality of times during a forward movement process of the plunger (See figs.2-5, controller 13 controls the movement of plunger 4 i.e. in a forward movement of the plunger 4, the plunger discharges fluid, and during forward movement of the plunger 4, the plunger starts to move, it accelerates, it moves at a constant speed, it decelerates, and it stops over predetermined time as shown in fig.5 under the control of the controller 13. See also col.4, lines 36-68; col.5, lines 1-3); and

controlling moving speed (using the controller 13 in fig.4) of the plunger (4, fig.2) from a start of the deceleration to a stop of the plunger (4) in the steps of moving forward and stopping the plunger (4) such that a discharge quantity of the liquid droplet discharged from the discharge port becomes constant at every discharge (fig.5; col.5, lines 47-50, 59-68 during liquid dispensing initially the driving motor 8 is accelerated over time thereby the plunger 4, step A, up to a desired level B and this level B is maintained over a period of time up to point C at this point the motor 8 decelerates thereby the plunger 4 until the plunger stops over given time to discharge a given amount of fluid as shown in fig.5), wherein the liquid material in the metering tube (6) is discharged from the discharge port over a plurality of times during the forward movement process of the plunger (4)

Art Unit: 2861

(as shown in figs.2-5, during the forward movement of the plunger 4 under the control of controller 13 liquid is discharged from the discharge port over the times of acceleration, constant speed movement, and deceleration).

Regarding claim 3, Suovaniemi et al further teaches the liquid droplet discharged /dispensed by the method of discussed in claims 1 above, inherently is dispensed on a droplet receiving element/body/work.

Regarding claim 11, Suovaniemi et al further teaches wherein the step of controlling (see fig.4) moving speed of the plunger (plunger 4) comprises the steps of: a) starting and accelerating forward movement of the plunger (4) (fig.5; col.5, lines 47-50, 59-68 during liquid dispensing initially the plunger starts to move and accelerates as shown by step A in fig.5 under the control of controller 13 in fig.4); b) keeping the forward movement of the plunger (4) at a constant speed (step B in fig.5); c) decelerating and stopping the plunger (4) (step C in fig.5), wherein the plunger (4) is moved by a regulated amount (regulated by controller 13 in fig.4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

Art Unit: 2861

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suovaniemi et al.(US 5,343,769) in view of Fischer (US 6,283,946).

Regarding claim 5, Suovaniemi et al teaches an apparatus (device in figs.1, 2, 4) for discharging a liquid material, comprising:

a metering tube (6 in fig.2) having a discharge port communicating to outside (fig.2);

a plunger (4) which is in close contact with the inner wall surface of the metering tube (6)(see in fig.2);

a controller (controller 13 in fig.4) controlling a forward movement process of the plunger, thereby discharging the liquid material in the metering tube (6) from the discharge port over a plurality of times during the forward movement process of the plunger (See figs.2-5, controller 13 controls the movement of plunger 4 i.e. in a forward movement of the plunger 4 the plunger discharges fluid, and during forward movement of the plunger 4, the plunger starts to move, it accelerates, it moves at a constant speed, it decelerates, and it stops over predetermined time as shown in fig.5 under the control of the controller 13. See also col.4, lines 36-68; col.5, lines 1-3), wherein the controller (13 in fig.4) controls a moving speed of the plunger (4) moving from a start of a deceleration to a stop of the plunger (4) in the steps of moving forward and stopping the

Art Unit: 2861

plunger (4) such that a discharge quantity of the liquid droplet discharged from the discharge port becomes constant at every discharge (see fig.5; col.5, lines 47-50 and lines 59-68).

Suovaniemi et al teaches the plunger (4) is in close contact with the inner wall surface of the metering tube (6), However, he does not specifically teaches the tip face of the plunger closely contacts with the inner wall surface of the metering tube.

However, Fischer teaches a plunger (250, figs.7A, 7B) whose tip face closely contacts the inner wall surface of the metering tube (220). A sealing gasket (260) is arranged on the tip portion of the plunger (250) to improve the closeness of the plunger tip to the inner surface of the tube (220).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sealing gasket of Fischer on the tip portion of the plunger of Suovaniemi et al in order to improve the closeness of the plunger to the inner surface of the tube thereby improving the efficiency of the dispensing device, since air and/or liquid is hindered from passing between the inner surface of tube and the plunger.

Regarding claim 2, Fischer further teaches air bubble removing means, air vent, can be provided in the plunger to vent out trapped air bubbles (col.13, lines 6-8).

Art Unit: 2861

Regarding claim 6, Suovaniemi et al further teaches input device (detector 14 in fig.4 which includes sensors 23 and 24 in fig.3 of Suovaniemi et al) indicating the moving speed of the plunger (4 in fig.2, col.4, lines 40-44) moving forward from start of deceleration to stop to the controller (13 in fig.4) (detector 14 including sensors 23,24 measures the position and / or movement of plunger 14, col.3 lines 59-61. see also figs.4, 5 and the corresponding text).

Regarding claim 7, Suovaniemi et al further teaches the controller (13, in fig.4 of Suovaniemi et al) controls the operation of the plunger (4 in fig.2) on the basis of data concerning the moving speed of the plunger (4) moving forward from start of deceleration to stop, which has been inputted by the input means (14, 23, 24 figs.3, 4) (col.4, lines 18-68).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Suovaniemi et al further teaches wherein the plunger (4 in fig.2 of Suovaniemi et al) is moved by a motor (electric motor 8, fig.3) and controlling moving speed of the plunger (4) by controlling a rotation of operation of the motor (8) (see fig.4, and the corresponding text).

Regarding claim 9, Suovaniemi et al as modified by Fischer above further teaches wherein the plunger (4 in fig.2 of Suovaniemi et al) having an air bubble removing means (plunger 4 of Suovaniemi et al as modified by the air vent of Fischer, see the rejection of claim 2 above).

Art Unit: 2861

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suovaniemi et al.(US 5,343,769) in view of Horsnell et al. (US 2005/0231553).

Regarding claim 12, Suovaniemi et al teaches substantially the claimed inventions (see the rejections of claims 1 and 11 above).

Suovaniemi et al does not explicitly teaches method of discharging a liquid droplet including a step of a) starting and accelerating forward movement of the plunger; b)decelerating and stopping the plunger without keeping the forward movement at a constant speed, wherein the plunger is moved by a regulated amount.

However, from the same endeavor Horsnell et al teaches method of discharging a liquid droplet (figs.1,5,10,16) including a step of a) starting and accelerating forward movement of the plunger (due to the application of the first portion of the driving wave forms in figs.16a,16b); b) decelerating and stopping the plunger without keeping the forward movement at a constant speed, wherein the plunger is moved by a regulated amount (due to the application of the second portion of the driving wave forms in figs.16a,16b, and the movement of the plunger such as 1 in fig.1 is controlled by controller in figs.10,16. see also paragraph 0165).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to drive the liquid discharging device of Suovaniemi et al to have the above claimed ejection steps by providing the

Art Unit: 2861

driving signals of Horsnell et al in order to be able to small ejections will suppressing the formation of satellite droplets.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Osawa et al (US 2001/0016358), Sato (US 6,230,606), Eslinger (US 4,990,058), Moler et al. (US 7,021,191), Hanafusa et al. (US 2006/0144331), Ikushima (JP 2003126750).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENOK LEGESSE whose telephone number is (571)270-1615. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. Between. 8:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW LUU can be reached on (571)272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,504 Page 10

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LUU MATTHEW/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

H.L. 08/15/2008